## Comments for Planning Application DC/15/2151/OUT

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: DC/15/2151/OUT

Address: Great Wilsey Park Wilsey Road Little Wratting Suffolk

Proposal: Outline Application (Means of Access to be considered) - Residential development of up to 2,500 units (within use classes C2/C3); two primary schools; two local centres including retail, community and employment uses (with use classes A1/A2/A3/A4/A5, B1 and D1/D2; open space;

landscaping and associated infrastructure

Case Officer: Chris Rand

## **Customer Details**

Name: Mrs Olive Page

Address: 8 Ruffles Road, Haverhill CB9 7JX

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Comment Reasons:** 

- Other
- Parking issues
- Plan queries
- Residential Amenity
- Traffic or Highways

Comment:Before I can approve of the scheme I need answers to the following questions.

- 1) When will the link road be built? Is it ensured that this road will be built in time to absorb the pressure on existing roads as the new housing becomes occupied?
- 2) When will amenities such as GP surgery, pharmacy, school and shops be provided in other words, are such amenities incorporated into the design? Haverhill's surgeries and schools are already under pressure.
- 3) I am a disabled driver and am very concerned indeed about parking in Haverhill. I already have parking difficulties even with a blue badge. The old Co-op car park is very busy and disabled parking is very difficult to come by particularly on weekends. I use the car park for bus transport to hospital and I am unable to manage the walk from another car park. The proposed redevelopment of the old Co-op into domestic accommodation will put added pressure on this car park. So what is proposed in terms of town parking in light of this housing development?
- 4) Next, there is the matter of appropriate water drainage; what assurances are given that

drainage arrangements will be sufficient to protect residents from flooding lower down the hill?

5) Finally, I am concerned about the types of housing that is envisaged. In my view, the majority should be 2- and 3-bedroom, that at least 5% of the homes be council-owned and that a further 5% be affordable housing.

I am in favour of building more homes in principle and, with suitably reassuring answers to these questions, will be happy to give the scheme my support.