

# Comments for Planning Application DC/17/2269/FUL

## Application Summary

Application Number: DC/17/2269/FUL

Address: 27 Clements Lane Haverhill Suffolk CB9 8JR

Proposal: Planning Application - 3no dwellings and access (following demolition of existing dwelling and garage)

Case Officer: Ed Fosker

## Customer Details

Name: Mrs Lisa Carr

Address: 21 Clements Lane, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 8JR

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Parking issues
- Plan queries
- Traffic or Highways

Comment: Too who it may concern

I am writing regarding the proposed planning application that will potentially impact on a property I own.

27 old Clements lane has a planning application currently pending to build 3, 4 bedroom dwellings on.

My issue firstly is that I have only just heard about this, I have had no letter or communication to advise me that this application was submitted, as an effected resident I should have been notified, secondly and the only reason I have found out about this is that on a lamp post further up the hill, but not out side my property has a planning advice been placed on it.

I have looked at the plans on line and am asking for some clarification it looks to me that other than access via foot there appears only to be one way to access the proposed property's by vehicle and that is via the already battered road that lies out side the terraced property's in old Clements lane up to number 26.

If this is the case hear lies the problem there is already a major issue regarding parking for all residents resulting in some highway parking to accommodate households that have 2 plus vehicles. The parking out side the property's in old Clements lane is far from adequate now and the road that is parked on is in extreme disrepair.

I have read the proposed planning application and the road access via old Clements lane appears to be the responsibility of the residents/public to up keep, if this is the case and it is deemed a private road then surely such access of heavy machinery and construction vehicles is something

that can objectively be challenged.

I understand any new owners of 27 old Clements lane would inherit this right but surely not at the detriment of other property owners.

In principle I would not object to these dwellings being built, however from a selfish perspective I would seek for my property to increase in value not decrease as this current proposal would suggest would happen.

The only way I can see that a reasonable solution could be reached is that if all the other objections with provisos are taken on board and from my perspective if the road that runs out side my property and that of others was improved, widened, and a foot path and parking bays are in cooperated then this would be reasonable in the circumstances, I believe this could be easily done if the grass verge/ bank was utilised, unless there is such a compromise to this application then I am fully objecting to the proposal and would like my concerns raised we're appropriate.

If you could let me know what the latest position is I would be most grateful.

Kind regards Lisa Carr