

Comments for Planning Application DC/17/2269/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: DC/17/2269/FUL

Address: 27 Clements Lane Haverhill Suffolk CB9 8JR

Proposal: Planning Application - 3no dwellings and access (following demolition of existing dwelling and garage)

Case Officer: Ed Fosker

Customer Details

Name: Mr chris roche

Address: 23 Clements Lane, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 8JR

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Other
- Parking issues
- Plan queries

Comment:C Roche and

C G Roche

23 Old Clements Lane

Haverhill

Suffolk

CB9 8JR

Planning and Regulatory Services

St Edmundsbury Borough Council

West Suffolk House

Western Way

Bury St Edmunds

IP33 3YU

21 October 2019

Dear Mr Fosker

PROPOSAL: PLANNING APPLICATION: 3NO DWELLINGS AND ACCESS (FOLLOWING DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLING AND GARAGE)

LOCATION: 27 CLEMENTS LANE HAVERHILL SUFFOLK CB9 8JR

APPLICATION NO: DC/17/2269/FUL

Further to your letter of 3 October 2019 in respect of the above application, our property of 23-25 Old Clements Lane, known as 23 Old Clements Lane is semi-detached to no. 26 Old Clements Lane. Our concerns are mirrored by those of our neighbour, M Espin at 26 Old Clements Lane in both his letters to you dated 4 December 2017 and 16 October 2019.

In addition, we feel there are substantial safety issues. The local authority/planning office have a duty of care to the existing residents of Old Clements Lane. If the proposed development goes ahead we are concerned with not only the inconvenience of vibration, noise, dust and construction vehicle traffic, but also increased risk of possible injury to residents and visitors: construction vehicles will be passing inches from our front doors and parked cars making access hazardous.

We suggest, if the development is allowed to proceed, temporary road access must be considered at the southern-end of Old Clements Lane, ie Dark Lane, to enable safer passage for the construction vehicles, thereby avoiding the use of Old Clements Lane as a thorough-fare. This should be a minimum requirement.

However, this does not overcome the long term traffic, parking and safety issues of Old Clements Lane. This can only be avoided by not allowing over development of the site. In our opinion, three 3-4 bedroom properties are an over development of what was once the site of a 2 bedroom property, bearing in mind there is no footpath.

Yours sincerely

C. Roche

Christopher Roche