

Comments for Planning Application DC/20/0615/RM

Application Summary

Application Number: DC/20/0615/RM

Address: Land North Of Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wrattling Suffolk

Proposal: Reserved Matters Application - Submission of details under SE/09/1283 - the means of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the construction of 168no. dwellings with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as Phase 2

Case Officer: Penny Mills

Customer Details

Name: Mr Keith Grant

Address: 3 Copellis Close, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 0EH

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Other

Comment:Plan

Objection to the development of 4 storey residential buildings (Corby and Piel) on the grounds that the scale and height is out of character for the area, it will also be a gross invasion of privacy for the current home owners on whose properties overlook Ann Suckling Rd, overlooking their gardens and into their houses from those heights, also they will do the same for the lower buildings proposed in the development. Even if the windows facing existing properties, in the 4 storey buildings, are frosted ones they will only ensure privacy when they are closed and assuming they don't get replaced. The height is also out of step with anything else being built in this development and also the rest of Haverhill. This is something more likely to be seen in a large city which Haverhill is not.

It is noticeable that this was kept out of the street scene drawings, a cynic might believe this to be deliberate to mislead anyone looking through the plans.

Roads

I also object to the connection of Ann Suckling Rd through to Hales Barn Rd which will create a rat run. If the other road developments that have been promised to be completed first are carried out, there will be no need for this route. Creating a rat run will also deter use of the proposed cycle ways which are being encouraged locally and nationally. Cyclists of all ages will not use the cycle ways if confronted with heavy traffic on the grounds of their safety. We also see that the report from the highways suggests that most people from Haverhill work in the town, this is absolutely incorrect, a large number of people work in Cambridge and Bury St. Edmunds, conversely a large

number of people also travel to or through Haverhill for work purposes. This is noticeable by the congestion to be found at peak times.

Ecology

I object to the removal of large amounts of local flora (trees, shrubs, hedgerows etc.) this is very poor practice. There is a large amount of wildlife in the local area that use these hedgerows etc. as nesting, corridors, for food from seeds, berries and the like. Also can it be assured that only the items shown will be removed, if somehow approved, given the track record of the developers. It is bad enough the environmental vandalism is being carried out by using arable land instead of some of the large amounts of unused land around the town. To also remove even more greenery is abhorrent. The current situation that the UK finds itself in has shown that we already do not have enough land to grow our own food, and here we are removing even more.