

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [planning.technical](mailto:planning.technical)  
**Subject:** SE/09/1283 APP NUMBER DC/21/0110/RM  
**Date:** 24 February 2021 14:04:45

[THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL]

OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION SE/09/1283, APPLICATION NO. DC/21/110/RM

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to receipt of your letter dated 18th February 2021 concerning this letter and after studying the proposals from the website and have concluded that I object to any such development with details listed below;

1. I believe that the extent of the development heading towards the existing Ann Suckling Lane/Road development (called the Boyton Hall estate) as encroached further down towards it than previous plans. Thus the siting, scale, height and massing would result in a dominant form, detrimental to the adjacent properties and the surrounding area.
2. The proposal by reason is of a form and design that would be out of character with the development adjoining it.
3. The position of the proposed development would over shadow the current development and this would mean that it would be overlooked and thereby have a loss of privacy.
4. Concerns over the already overstretched utilities such as main sewer and water pressure. Currently No 46 has very low water pressure already.
5. Concerns over the increased noise and disturbance resulting from the construction and usage. Currently construction work is around 1/3 to 1/2 mile away from the existing Boyton Hall development and the constant noise at the moment from a distance is disturbing and runs 6 days a week. With the planned new development the noise and disturbance will get nearer to the existing Boyton Hall estate and noise and disturbance levels will only increase to unacceptable levels. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]
6. The extension of the current development will make the existing properties worth less on the open market, if they can be sold as all with the duration of Persimmion planned developments on this site.
7. The development is consuming previously undeveloped farmland.
8. The development shows an allotment area adjacent to Ann Suckling Road/Lane, be it smaller than the last proposal but no vehicular access, this being from Ann Suckling Lane/Road. The access should be from the new development side.
9. The surrounding fields are home to a variety of wildlife., Pictures attached show examples photographed in the field in question spring of 2020.
10. The building of a further 127 dwellings would increase traffic flow on already overloaded road of the A143 and into Haverhill town centre causing more pollution and health and safety concerns for pedestrians.
11. As the town has limited employment positions, and growing less with the reduction in retail currently, the increased traffic would head towards Cambridge and with the congestion in the town centre and off towards the A1307, there would be an increase in 'rat runs' forced by motorists seeking quicker routes to works to try and bypass the congestion resulting traffic travelling through the villages towards Cambridge.
12. This development is located in the incorrect location and planned for maximum profit without due thought for the current residents of Boyton Hall estate.

Regards

Tony Woodward  
46 Falklands Road  
Haverhill  
Suffolk  
CB9 0EA





