Comments for Planning Application DC/21/0110/RM

Application Summary

Application Number: DC/21/0110/RM

Address: Land Nw Of Haverhill Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wratting Suffolk

Proposal: Reserved matters application - submission of details under outline planning permission SE/09/1283 - the means of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the construction of 127 dwellings, together with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as phase 2b

Case Officer: Penny Mills

Customer Details

Name: Mr David McCormack

Address: 9 Ganwick Close, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 9JX

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Noise
- Other
- Parking issues
- Plan queries
- Residential Amenity
- Traffic or Highways

Comment:We have personal objections regarding this planning application, our house backs onto fields, we love the wildlife that visit our garden, the squirrels, the birds, we see the occasional deer in the field. Now when we open our back door we can hear construction noise, and we can see the diggers. Our local walks have been fenced off.

We have objections in relation to Haverhill - it does not have the amenities necessary for the housing that is planned. Doctors surgeries are already struggling, is there the capacity in our schools for the extra children, or on the roads with the extra traffic. Planning for these services need to go hand in hand with housing developments of this sort. The majority of these houses will not be for local residents working locally, new jobs are not being created in the Haverhill area in theses numbers, this housing will be for commuters, the A1307 is a high casualty route and the queues into Cambridge can be horrendous. More traffic will mean further delays and an increase in number of fatalities.

We try to be greener, to recycle, to walk rather than to take the car - but huge developments like this, where people have to drive miles to work cause more pollution - smaller developments closer to where the work is, also would not adversely impact wildlife as much.

Lastly the people who will live on this development, mental health is more important than ever. The job of the developers is to make profits, the job of the local councils is to ensure that the future generations who live on these developments have a good quality of life. Packing as many houses into the smallest space, with smaller rooms, smaller gardens and smaller access roads, with not enough green areas for your children to play, or places for you to park your car, not being able to see a doctor, having to commute to work on a high casualty route with long traffic delays, will not give you a good quality of life, and will impact your mental health David and Marion McCormack