

Comments for Planning Application DC/21/0110/RM

Application Summary

Application Number: DC/21/0110/RM

Address: Land Nw Of Haverhill Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wratting Suffolk

Proposal: Reserved matters application - submission of details under outline planning permission SE/09/1283 - the means of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the construction of 127 dwellings, together with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as phase 2b

Case Officer: Penny Mills

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stuart Britten

Address: 12 Gurlings Close, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 0EG

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Parking issues
- Residential Amenity
- Traffic or Highways

Comment: Dear Penny,

I would like to echo many of the other complaints that have been put against this development in particular the 4 storey flats to the entrance of the site. Persimmon are noting this to be a gateway, but as this is not on the main road, it is only forming a "gateway" to the new development, not into the town. Bearing in mind a typical "gateway" is more akin to the new Epicentre located on the opposite side of town, situated on a main road. Additionally the 4 storey flats are located at the highest point of the town making it more visible, from the town. Developments of this height are ok in the centre of a town but not on the outskirts of a town of this scale and location. I feel by allowing something of this scale it will form a precedent for other tall buildings, which are out of context with the surrounding houses.

While I understand houses are required, the road infrastructure, which is already very busy will be greatly added.

Although it is good to see new playing fields been proposed, this is further away from any of the existing developments, pushing any existing green areas further away from existing residents and giving it to the new development. Why can it not be simply handed, so that both developments benefit from it, not just the new.

Kind regards

Stuart