

Comments for Planning Application DC/21/0110/RM

Application Summary

Application Number: DC/21/0110/RM

Address: Land Nw Of Haverhill Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wratting Suffolk

Proposal: Reserved matters application - submission of details under outline planning permission SE/09/1283 - the means of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the construction of 127 dwellings, together with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as phase 2b as amended by plans received 14.5.21 increasing number of units to 129 and amendments to access, layout, scale, appearance and landscaping as summarised in covering letter dated 14.5.21

Case Officer: Penny Mills

Customer Details

Name: Mrs JUNE LASHMAR

Address: 1 Rowell Close, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 0EE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Parking issues
- Plan queries
- Residential Amenity
- Traffic or Highways

Comment:1.The 'Gateway' proposal for phase 2B is too excessive in scale and height. The structure will dominate the skyline. It is totally out of keeping with the rest of the development around it, Boyton Hall estate, and our market town of Haverhill. The plot of land 2B is not big enough to justify such a large structure and will be a total 'eyesore'. These buildings are more appropriate for a city. Such tall flats are inappropriate for a semi-rural town, particularly on the outskirts of the town.

2.I recognise the need for housing, but this needs to be done with thought, care and the understanding that these are homes and not just housing quotas. From the proposed plans of 2B the buildings are too dense and dwellings too close to each other - This plot is too small for the proposed plans! With no recreational facilities this will only encourage anti-social behaviour. Without improved infrastructure I question whether Haverhill can sustain so many dwellings.

3.No private parking with each house is short-sighted and likely to encourage mass street parking, which is both unsightly, frustrating and devalues properties (I have experienced this on an Looking into the future, how would electric cars be charged in rear parking areas? Rear parking increases the opportunity for vandalism. The whole development does not have enough parking spaces for

modern living.

4. Concerns about volume of traffic and parking on Anne Suckling Road which will be exacerbated by allotment and further housing.

5. Provision for recreational facilities is vague, but one of the most important elements which builds community and supports mental wellbeing. There are no green recreational and play areas within reasonable walking distance in this area of Haverhill. It is impossible for young families from the Persimmon and the Boyton Hall Estates to access any such areas unless they have a car, as there is no bus service.

6. There is no serious provision for trees, hedgerows and wildlife with the proposed housing which would be more in keeping with this semi-rural area.

I ask you seriously to think of the legacy of these buildings and review the plans for the development of our community in Haverhill. It affects us all both now and in the future.