

# Comments for Planning Application DC/21/0110/RM

## Application Summary

Application Number: DC/21/0110/RM

Address: Land Nw Of Haverhill Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wratting Suffolk

Proposal: Reserved matters application - submission of details under outline planning permission SE/09/1283 - the means of access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for the construction of 127 dwellings, together with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as phase 2b as amended by plans received 14.5.21 increasing number of units to 129 and amendments to access, layout, scale, appearance and landscaping as summarised in covering letter dated 14.5.21

Case Officer: Penny Mills

## Customer Details

Name: Mrs Angela Wilson

Address: 12 Paske Avenue, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 8BX

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Plan queries

Comment: The planners have not taken residents' views about the height of the proposed development into consideration. The plans for the 3-storey flats that have been amended are higher than the original ones. The objections were to the height of the development and this remains the case. It will be completely out of keeping with the area and being at the top of a hill will make it block the view of the tree lines around the town. No building should be built that will block this view from around the town.

The proposed density of housing is also too high. We have experienced problems in the past caused by high housing density on the Clements and Parkway estates which have caused and continue to cause social problems. We should learn from previous mistakes on planning issues in Haverhill.

There is not enough green amenity space planned into this proposal. Again, lessons from the past year of the pandemic have taught us that green space is vital to our well-being. These developments are a perfect opportunity to achieve those goals, especially in a rural location being built on greenfield sites.

There is not provision for cycle/footpaths which would alleviate the need for all residents to use

their cars to move around the town. Cycle paths/footpath provision should be insisted upon by local planning offices as we develop the town. We should be moving away from car dependency. The paths around the town need to be safe and off-road to encourage wider use and which link vital parts of the town: shops, schools, town centre etc.