

# Comments for Planning Application DC/25/0761/FUL

## Application Summary

Application Number: DC/25/0761/FUL

Address: Land Adjacent 54 Crowland Road Haverhill Suffolk

Proposal: Planning application - one dwelling

Case Officer: Savannah Cobbolt

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Michael Malley

Address: 56 Crowland Road, Haverhill, Suffolk CB9 9LF

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Noise
- Opening hours
- Parking issues
- Plan queries
- Traffic or Highways

Comment: As much as we appreciate the privacy issue with the windows at the side of the property, which have been removed.. The top rear right one which we did did bring up with Robert about and he said it's an easy fix to move a bathroom there with frosted windows etc... the only other changes I can see is that they've changed the name of the 3rd bedroom to office..

Same problems are still here..

Parking in which the splay or there lack of which we addressed highly of the dangers last time nothing will change there is no splay.

Over development there is no room... across the road wasn't too bad as they had the ground and area for all builders, parking, tools, equipment muckaway etc.. the proposed planning does not! Specially as it's where the road narrows.

Where does he intend on having all these builders park, equipment, diggers and stores stored ?  
Muck aways diggers etc etc etc

there's a lovely young family who lives in 54, the gardens going to be a mine field of dangers for the kids...

Let alone the traffic which this road is a seriously busy cut through for the emergency services and lorry's etc it's dangerous at best ! And then when you bring in a developer where the road narrows and there's parking both sides.

The planning still shows he's going to be building right up against our fence at 56 Crowland road in which we will not allow to be taken down or access for scaffolding on our driveway removing our access for parking.

The highways & council should yet again do another thorough site visit, as they did last time highlighting such problems which aided the last decisions of the last 3-4 applications that got decided.

Regards