

**From:** denise [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 25, 2023 4:09 PM  
**To:** Andrew Rutter [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Planning Application SCC/0045/23SE

 EXTERNAL EMAIL: Don't click any links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Click [here](#) for more information or help from Suffolk IT

Dear Sirs

I am objecting to the above planning application.

Reasons for objections are -

The land is agricultural and not designated for industrial use. And it does not meet the Haverhill 2030 vision.

This is not the type of vision we want to see when driving into Haverhill. It will be visible to many residents of the Arboretum estate.

There are far more sites suitable for this development.

It is too near residential areas including a day nursery and restaurant.

As for generating employment opportunities this is ridiculous. I think we will lose more companies and jobs than what this proposal will bring.

This road is not suitable for the addition of more lorries and tractors etc. This road also had a high number of road traffic accidents. Vehicles will also be coming along our narrow country roads and through the village of Withersfield to the lagoon.

There will be hugely increased noise from the plant.

Risk of flooding will be huge. Bad enough already!!

The harm caused by emissions of toxins could be detrimental to the nearby housing estate and day nursery, along with the smell.

A great concern is the disturbance to our wildlife. We regularly see Red Kits and Buzzards flying and most nights hear owls.

I personally think that the harms of this application far outweighs the benefits, and I strongly OBJECT to this proposal.

Yours faithfully

Denise Bradnam

The Church Lea

Burton Green

Withersfield