

From: Lynne Hart [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2023 9:15 AM
To: Andrew Rutter <Andrew.Rutter@suffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: Application SCC/0045/23SE

 EXTERNAL EMAIL: Don't click any links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Click [here](#) for more information or help from Suffolk IT

Dear Mr Rutter

I am writing to voice my objections to Application Number: SCC/0045/23SE, the Construction and operation of an anaerobic digestion facility, associated infrastructure and new access road, connecting pipeline and covered digestate lagoons - Acorn Bioenergy Limited/Thurlow Estate.

I would like to object on the following grounds

1. Location - Thurlow Estate owns thousands of acres of land - there must be a more suitable rural location for this facility. Putting it on the edge of a growing town is not the right place for it to be. Have they considered other areas for this construction?
2. Traffic - I do not feel the applied for location is the right place for the additional traffic movements.
3. Flooding - the last few days have shown that this area is not immune to flooding problems. I belong to Haverhill Glolf Club which suffers from flooding of the river which winds through it as well as other areas of the course. I live in Wixoe and the floods in the last few days have badly affected access to our village, proving that it is not just Haverhill that would suffer from the concreting over of more farmland. Floods spread. I fear this construction will badly affect our area which is already a high risk for flooding.
4. West Town Park - why threaten a public area? Haverhill doesn't have much going for it - confirmed by the lack of decent shops in spite of growing house numbers, so why make it worse for the local habitat and public spaces?
5. Visual Impact - This site needs to be in a rural area, it deals with rural issues. No-one in Haverhill should be subjected to looking at this eyesore. I understand several EpiCentre tenants have said they will leave if it is built.

6. Employment Benefits - there are none according to the plans. Specialist contractors required. Only 5 people to run it once built.
7. Output - according to the plan, none of the alternative energy supplies will be used locally. Why therefore should local people suffer from the visual effect of this monstrosity? Why should they be subjected to the smell that will be produced?

Yours sincerely
Lynne Hart

[REDACTED]